


A night sky with the Milky Way galaxy visible, arching from the upper left towards the lower right. The sky is dark with numerous stars. Below the horizon, a body of water reflects the colors of the sky. On the far right, some distant lights are visible on the horizon.

Credit Suisse Section

Climate change report

A report for members by the Trustee of the UBS
(UK) Group Pension Plan (the “Plan”)

Plan year to 31 December 2025

Why have we written this report?



The UK was the first G20 country to make it mandatory for Britain's largest companies and financial organisations to disclose their climate-related risks and opportunities.

This is part of the government's commitment to making the UK financial system the greenest in the world.

This report provides members the opportunity to find out more about the work carried out by the Trustee in relation to climate change.

The UBS (UK) Group Pension Plan was split into two sections with effect from 30 October 2025: the Credit Suisse Section and the UBS Section. The Credit Suisse Section replaced the previously named "Credit Suisse Group (UK) Pension Fund" (the "Fund"). This report relates to the Credit Suisse Section only. All references to the "Plan" in this report relate to the Credit Suisse Section of the UBS (UK) Group Pension Plan.

Until 31 July 2023, the Trustee of the Fund was Credit Suisse First Boston Trustees Limited (the "Previous Trustee"). The Previous Trustee was replaced as Trustee of the Fund with the appointment of a professional corporate sole trustee, Independent Trustee Services Limited ("ITS"). While for the entire period covered by this report ITS was the Trustee of the Plan, any reference to "Trustee" in this Report prior to their appointment on 1 August 2023 refers to the Previous Trustee.

It is the fourth climate change report by the Trustee of the Plan. We hope you find it informative and would welcome any feedback.

Signed Chris Martin

Date: 15 July 2026

Overview

The Trustee of the UBS (UK) Group Pension Plan views climate change as a risk to society, the economy and the financial system, but also recognises that reducing carbon emissions throughout the economy presents opportunities.

This report describes how the Trustee has identified, assessed and managed climate-related risks and opportunities to the Plan during the Plan year to 31 December 2025.

Contents

Executive summary

Detailed report:

- Section 1 – Governance Page 6
- Section 2 – Strategy Page 9
- Section 3 – Risk Management Page 14
- Section 4 – Metrics and Targets Page 17

Appendices

- Appendix 1 – Greenhouse gas emissions Page 31
- Appendix 2 – Climate scenario analysis Page 32
- Appendix 3 – Further information on climate-related metrics Page 36
- Appendix 4 – Glossary of terms Page 37

Executive summary

Report

Department for Work and Pensions (“DWP”) Climate Change Regulations require trustees of large pension schemes (with assets over £1bn) to produce a TCFD report, which outlines how trustees identify, assess and manage climate-related risks and opportunities. These regulations align with the recommendations from the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (“TCFD” - an industry-led group that helps companies and their investors understand their financial exposure to climate risk). The aim is to improve both the quality of governance and level of action by trustees in response to climate change.

This report covers the period from 1 January 2025 to 31 December 2025, which represents the fourth year for which the Climate Change Regulations applied to the Plan.

Overview of DB Scheme

In September 2024, the Trustee secured the benefits of the DB section’s members by entering into a bulk annuity contract with Legal and General Assurance Society Limited (“LGAS”). As such, and with the additional transfer of assets to the UBS Section in October 2025, as at 31 December 2025, the DB section only held residual assets in a portfolio of gilts and money market assets, totalling approximately £55m. The DB section is expected to be broadly resilient to climate-related risks and has limited reliance on the sponsor covenant.

Conclusions specific to the TCFD’s thematic areas are summarised below:

Governance: Trustees received training covering climate scenario analysis over the year and continued to use its governance framework for considering climate-related factors.

Strategy: Regulatory guidance requires the Trustee to conduct scenario analysis to assess the potential impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities every 3 years or following a change in data availability, strategy, scenarios used or industry practice. The Trustee has reviewed the scenario analysis that covers the Plan year. The bulk annuity contract has effectively transferred the DB section’s exposure to climate risk to the insurer.

Risk Management: Over the previous year the Trustee has implemented a number of processes and tools for identifying, assessing and managing climate related risks and opportunities for the Plan. This includes integrating climate change into the Plan’s risk management processes, including the Risk Register, covenant monitoring and investment monitoring.

Metrics and Targets: Four key metrics that were identified to measure climate-related risks. For the DB section, the Trustee had set a target for 80% of corporate bond investments to have set science-based targets by 2030 (no equities held). However following the purchase of a bulk annuity policy in September 2024, the DB section no longer holds any corporate bonds, therefore this target is now only applied to the DC section. Climate metrics were collected for the DB section’s residual assets and buy in policy.

Executive summary

Overview of DC Scheme

The DC Section of the Plan has assets of c.£305m (as at 31 December 2025). The decrease in DC Section assets over the Plan year reflects the second phase of the transfer of Plan assets to the Fidelity Master Trust in September 2025. The majority of members and assets remaining in the Plan are invested in the default lifestyle strategy and alternative lifestyle strategies, with the asset allocation depending on the member's expected retirement date. While the Trustee has considered the range of funds available to members with climate-related risks in mind, the majority of the analysis conducted over the year has focused on outcomes arising from the Plan's "popular arrangements" - the Drawdown Lifestyle Strategy (default strategy) and the BlackRock Global 50:50 Index Fund¹.

Conclusions specific to the TCFD's thematic areas are summarised below:

Governance: The Trustee considers climate matters, with the support of its advisors. Trustees received training over the year and continued to use the framework for considering climate-related factors established in the previous year.

Strategy: Regulatory guidance requires the Trustee to conduct scenario analysis to assess the potential impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities every 3 years or following a change in data availability, strategy, scenarios used or industry practice. The actual and potential impacts of climate-related risks and opportunities have been considered as part of scenario analysis conducted in 2026 in the context of the range of funds available to members and for the default strategy. Overall, the effect of the climate scenarios on the DC section could have material impacts on the outcomes for members and is therefore an important area of focus. Modelling was carried out for members that are representative of the current population, which showed that members with 5 years to retirement are likely to be most impacted by short term shocks. However, members with 15 years to retirement may see longer term effects of physical climate risk, leading to significantly worse outcomes.

Risk Management: The Trustee has implemented processes and tools for identifying, assessing and managing climate related risks and opportunities for the Plan. These include integrating climate change into the Plan's risk management processes, including the Risk Register, and investment monitoring. Over the Plan year covered by this report, the Trustee has monitored these processes. The time periods considered are cognisant of the wider dispersion in member retirement ages. The Trustee replaced 90% of the passive equity allocation within the default strategy with a low carbon equivalent equity allocation in March 2022. This marked a significant ongoing step to managing climate transition risks for members within the DC section. The Trustee has also recognised that engagement with investment managers to ensure they are exercising stewardship in support of net zero pathways is key to avoiding a failed transition.

Metrics and Targets: Four key metrics have been identified to measure climate-related risks. For the DC section, the Trustee has set a target for 80% of listed equity and corporate bond investments to have set science-based targets by 2030. These metrics and targets will be used to assess and manage relevant climate-related risks and opportunities over time. Both the metrics and target remain unchanged from the previous Plan year as the Trustee believes these continue to remain appropriate in measuring climate-related risks for the Plan.

¹ Popular arrangements are those with more than £100m invested or which account for 10% or more of total scheme assets.

Governance

The Plan's Trustee, advisers and investment managers all undertake climate-related governance activities on behalf of the Plan. These responsibilities are outlined below:

1. The Trustee's role

It is the Trustee Chair's responsibility to ensure that sufficient time is allocated for consideration and discussion of climate matters by the Trustee and its advisers. In broad terms, the Trustee is responsible for:

- ensuring the Trustee has sufficient knowledge and understanding of climate change to fulfil its statutory and fiduciary obligations and are keeping this knowledge and understanding up to date. This will include knowledge and understanding of the principles relating to the identification, assessment and management of climate-related risks and opportunities for the Plan;
- putting in place effective climate governance arrangements;
- identifying and assessing the main climate-related risks and opportunities for the Plan and documenting the management of these;
- incorporating climate-related considerations into strategic decisions relating to the Plan's investments and funding arrangements;
- incorporating climate-related considerations into the Plan's investment beliefs, investment policies, risk register and contingency planning and monitoring framework;
- allowing for climate-related considerations when assessing and monitoring the strength of the sponsoring employer's covenant (acknowledging that following the full buy-in, there is now limited reliance on the sponsor covenant);
- selecting and regularly reviewing metrics to inform its assessment and management of climate-related risks and opportunities, and setting and monitoring targets to improve these metrics over time where appropriate;
- ensuring that the Plan's actuarial, investment, covenant and legal advisers have clearly defined responsibilities in respect of climate change, that they have adequate expertise and resources, including time and staff, to carry these out, that they are taking adequate steps to identify and assess any climate-related risks and opportunities which are relevant to the matters on which they are advising, and that they are adequately prioritising climate-related risk;
- considering and documenting the extent to which the advisers' responsibilities are included in any agreements, such as investment consultants' strategic objectives and service agreements;
- giving appropriate instructions to the Plan's investment managers instructing them to manage climate-related risks and opportunities in relation to the Plan's investments, and to have appropriate processes, expertise and resources to do this effectively;
- communicating with Plan members and other stakeholders on climate change where appropriate, including public reporting in accordance with The Occupational Pension Schemes (Climate Change Governance and Reporting) Regulations 2021, the Occupational and Personal Pension Schemes (Disclosure of Information) Regulations 2013 (together "TCFD reporting") when required.

Training for Trustee

The Trustee has received training on the following items that covered the Plan year to 31 December 2025 to ensure the Plan was compliant with TCFD requirements:

- *Climate scenario analysis for the DB and DC sections*

Climate beliefs and Statement of Investment Principles

- *The Trustee considers it is necessary to act in the best financial interests of Plan members and therefore it expects its investment managers to take account of financially material considerations (including climate change and other ESG considerations), taking into account the nature and time horizon of the investments.*

2. Other parties' and advisers' roles

In broad terms, the Plan's **actuarial adviser** is responsible, as requested by the Trustee, for:

- advising how climate-related risks and opportunities might affect the Plan's funding position over the short-, medium- and long-term and the implications for the Plan's funding strategy and long-term objectives;
- working with the Trustee's other advisers to assist the Trustee in incorporating climate change in its investment and covenant monitoring, and communication with stakeholders as appropriate.

In broad terms, the Plan's **investment adviser** is responsible, in respect of investment matters for both the defined benefit and defined contribution sections of the Plan, as requested by the Trustee, for:

- helping the Trustee to formulate its investment beliefs in relation to climate change and reflecting these in the Plan's investment policies and strategy;
- advising how climate-related risks and opportunities might affect the different asset classes in which the Plan might invest over the short-, medium- and long-term, and the implications for the Plan's investment strategy;
- advising the Trustee on determining short, medium and long-term time periods to be used when identifying climate-related risks and opportunities to the Plan;
- advising the Trustee on the appropriateness and effectiveness of the Plan's investment managers' processes, expertise and resources for managing climate-related risks and opportunities, given the Trustee's investment objectives and beliefs;
- assisting the Trustee in identifying and monitoring suitable climate-related metrics and targets in relation to the Plan's investments, including liaising with the Plan's investment manager and bulk purchase annuity provider regarding provision of the metrics;

- working with the Trustee's other advisers to assist the Trustee in incorporating climate change in its governance arrangements, risk register, contingency planning and monitoring framework and communication with stakeholders (including, but not limited to, its TCFD reporting) as appropriate;
- providing training and other updates to the Trustee on relevant climate-related matters;
- advising on the inclusion of climate change in the Plan's governance arrangements, risk register and contingency planning and monitoring framework, working with the Trustee and its other advisers as appropriate;
- assisting with the preparation of the Trustee's TCFD reporting, working with the Trustee and its other advisers as appropriate.

In broad terms, the Plan's **covenant adviser** is responsible, as requested by the Trustee, for:

- advising how climate-related risks and opportunities might affect the Plan's sponsoring employer over the short-, medium- and long-term;
- leading on the inclusion of climate change in the Plan's covenant monitoring, working with the Trustee and its other advisers as appropriate;
- working with the Trustee's other advisers to assist the Trustee in incorporating climate change in its governance arrangements, risk register, contingency planning and monitoring framework and communication with stakeholders (including, but not limited to, its TCFD reporting) as appropriate.

2. Other parties' and advisers' roles

In broad terms, the Plan's **legal adviser** is responsible, as requested by the Trustee, for:

- providing training and other updates to the Trustee on relevant climate-related legal matters;
- ensuring the Trustee is aware of its statutory and fiduciary obligations in relation to climate change and working with the Trustee's other advisers to ensure alignment between these obligations and:
 - any Trustee formulation of its investment beliefs in relation to climate change; and
 - the identification and monitoring of climate-related metrics and targets in relation to the Plan's investments.
- working with the Trustee's other advisers to assist the Trustee in incorporating climate change in its governance arrangements, risk register, contingency planning and monitoring framework and communication with stakeholders (including, but not limited to, its TCFD reporting) as appropriate;

- where requested, assisting in the documentation of any contractual requirements to be included in the arrangements with the Plan's investment managers with respect to the governance, management and reporting of climate-related matters.

In broad terms, the Plan's **investment managers** are responsible for:

- identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities in relation to the Plan's investments, in line with the investment management arrangements agreed with the Trustee;
- exercising rights (including voting rights) attached to the Plan's investments, and undertaking engagement activities in respect of those investments, in relation to climate-related risks and opportunities in a way that seeks to improve long-term financial outcomes for Plan members;
- providing information to the Plan's investment adviser on climate-related metrics in relation to the Plan's investments, as agreed from time to time, and using its influence with investee companies and other parties to improve the quality and availability of these metrics over time.

Information provided to Trustee

The Trustee has received the following advice from its Investment Adviser that covered its climate risks and opportunities over the year:

- *A review of the Plan's climate metrics and performance against its target along with comparisons against year 3 reporting.*

Objectives set for advisers

The Trustee has set the following objective for its investment adviser to ensure that climate is being considered adequately.

- *Help the Trustee implement an investment strategy that integrates its policy on ESG (including climate change) and stewardship.*

1. Identification and assessment of climate-related risks and opportunities relevant to the Plan

- The Trustee has considered climate-related risks and opportunities over various time periods which it believes are most relevant to the Plan.
- The Trustee has selected short-term, medium-term and long-term time horizons over which to formally consider the impact of climate related risks and opportunities for both the DB and DC sections. The Trustee agreed to different time horizons for both DB and DC sections reflecting differences in membership profile and investment strategy. These are outlined in the tables below and on the next page, along with the Trustee's rationale for each.
- The key climate-related risks and opportunities relevant to the Plan that the Trustee has identified are also outlined in the table below.
- The Plan faces risks and opportunities from both the physical effects of climate change – for example rising temperatures and more extreme weather events – and from the effect of transitioning to a lower carbon economy to help mitigate the impacts of climate change – for example, government policies to reduce the use of fossil fuels, technological advantages in renewable energy, and shifts in consumer demand for 'greener' products.
- For the DB section, the Trustee has set the short-term time horizon in line with its timeline to buy-out, with the medium- and long-term horizons set at 10 years and 25 years respectively, to capture the potentially more severe impacts of climate change that may arise if buy-out is not achieved in the short term.
- In practice, many of these risks for the DB section have been mitigated now that the Trustee has purchased a bulk annuity policy to cover the Plan's liabilities.

1. Identification and assessment of climate-related risks and opportunities relevant to the Plan (continued)

The time periods for the DC Section have been selected to align with the key milestones for climate action, as these will have the greatest impact on members.

DC section

Time period (set in March 2022)	Rationale for time period	Key milestones for climate action	Key opportunities
Short term (next 2 years)	2 years – Short term period over which transfer of assets to Master Trust is expected to be completed.	Many companies are expected to improve their climate reporting.	The move to Master Trust means a reducing level of influence over assets. The relationship with Fidelity as the Master Trust provider can be used to make sure they are considering climate risk appropriately.
Medium term (next 5 years)	5 years – Key period over which policy action will determine if Paris Agreement goals met	The IPCC* has said significant emission reductions must occur over this period if global average temperature rises are to be limited to 1.5°C.	
Long term (next 25 years)	25 years – Many economies are targeting to be net zero by this point	Many economics are targeting to be net zero by this point.	

The potential impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on the DB and DC Sections of the Plan was explored by the Trustee using a range of tools as set out on page 14 of this report. One such tool, the results of which are set out in the section that follows, was undertaking climate scenario analysis which shows how the DB and DC Sections of the Plan might be affected under a range of climate scenarios.

2. Climate scenario analysis

Scenario analysis is a tool for examining and evaluating different ways in which the future may unfold. At the 12 March 2026 meeting, the Trustee used scenario analysis to consider how climate change might affect the Plan's investment and funding strategies. With the support of its investment adviser, the Trustee carried out a scenario analysis based on macro-economic data and calibrated to market conditions at 30 September 2025.

The three climate scenarios considered by the Trustee were as follows:

1. High Warming

- No new* low-carbon policies enacted and some existing ones are scaled back. Current technological trends continue. Paris Agreement goals not met, and the resulting high warming leads to severe physical impacts.
- The Trustee chose to consider this scenario to explore what might happen to the Plan's finances if carbon emissions continue at current levels, resulting in significant physical risks from changes in the global climate that disrupt economic activity.

2. Limited Action

- Policymakers implement limited new climate policies and fall short of meeting the Paris Agreement goals, resulting in a combination of transition and physical risks.
- The Trustee chose to consider this scenario to see how the Plan's finances could play out if additional climate action is taken, meaning that temperature rises far exceed 2°C by 2100 – resulting in significant physical risks – and policy changes result in some transition risks as financial markets adjust.

3. Net Zero Financial Crisis

- Global net zero CO₂ emissions achieved by 2055 via rapid and effective climate action. Financial markets react abruptly in 2026.
- The Trustee chose to consider this scenario to look at the risks and opportunities for the Plan if global net zero carbon emissions is achieved by the mid 2-50s, but financial markets are volatile as they adjust to a low carbon economy.

The Trustee acknowledges that many alternative plausible scenarios exist but found that these were a helpful set of scenarios to explore how climate change might affect the Plan in the future.

To provide further insight, the Trustee also compared the outputs under each scenario to a 'climate uninformed base case', that makes no allowance for either changing physical or transition risks in the future.

The results of the analysis are presented on the next pages. For further details on the climate scenarios and the modelling, see Appendix 2.

2. Climate scenario analysis (continued)

The results of the analysis were as follows:

DB section

- The buy-in has effectively transferred the DB section's exposure to climate risk to the insurer.
- The section's insured liabilities remain subject to financial risks. However, the scenarios do not model more extreme outcomes. Instead, the scenarios assume that insurers are able to fully absorb and manage the effects, and so will continue to pay the contracted benefits in full.
- The buy-in also provides full hedging for the insured liabilities against the demographic risks associated with climate change.
- However, climate change is a systemic risk that will undoubtedly have profound impacts on the insurance sector over the coming years. To the extent the insurer (Legal and General) or the insurance industry as a whole are unprepared for these changes, climate risk increases the chance that insurers will be unable to meet the benefit payments promised.
- The regulatory regime, the insurer's reserves and the financial services compensation scheme (to the extent this covers the section's policy) continue to protect against insurer default due to climate change as well as any other risk. However, the systemic nature of climate change risk increases the chance that these regulatory protections prove insufficient, particularly in higher warming scenarios.

DC section

- The scenario analysis looked at the retirement outcomes (in terms of size of their projected retirement pot) for individual members of different ages who are invested in the default strategy, the Drawdown Lifestyle Strategy and the BlackRock Global 50:50 Index Fund. The default strategy and the BlackRock Global 50:50 Index Fund have been assessed to be the only "popular arrangements"¹ within the DC Section.
- The analysis highlighted that DC section members will be subject to climate risk of varying degrees dependent on both the scenario and the age of the member. Analysis was conducted for the default strategy for members at two different ages to reflect the different time to target retirement age (and therefore level of climate risk) at different points in the lifestyle.
- Climate risks are expected to have a greater impact on return-seeking assets, such as equities. The default strategy has been designed in a way that reduces exposure to these types of assets as members approach retirement. As such, climate risks are also expected to reduce the closer a member is to retiring.
- The next page includes details of the impact under each scenario for the DC section and the percentage change in the value of members' pots at retirement, relative to a climate uninformed scenario.

¹Popular arrangements are those with more than £100m invested or which account for 10% or more of total scheme assets.

2. Climate scenario analysis (continued)

- The tables to the right show the percentage change in the value of members' pots at retirement, relative to the climate uninformed scenario, across the three different scenarios and two different starting ages.
- This climate uninformed scenario assumes no increase of physical risks due to climate change and does not make any explicit assumptions about the transition to a low carbon economy.
- The majority of members have been transferred to the Fidelity Master Trust, therefore we have only shown two sample members, aged 45 and 55, which is representative of the current member population.
- A target retirement age of 60 has been assumed which is in line with the most popular selected retirement age in the Plan.
- As 100% of the membership is deferred, it has been assumed that pot sizes will grow with investment returns only (ie not with new contributions).
- The main potential impacts are as follows, noting that the best outcome still reflects a reduction in pot size versus the climate uninformed scenario:
 - For a member aged 55, short term shocks are of most concern. There is short-term risk of market shocks in a Net Zero Financial Crisis, mostly driven by the transition to a low carbon economy. Physical risks of climate change still need to be considered in this scenario, but are likely to be less pronounced over the short term.
 - For a member aged 45, physical climate impacts become a more significant concern. Longer term impacts would be more significant and impact 45-year olds up to and beyond their retirement age. Physical impacts of climate change and the volatility they will cause to markets give most concern. The level of impact is highly uncertain and potentially significantly detrimental to member benefits.

Default investment strategy (Change in projected at-retirement pot size relative to the climate uninformed scenario¹)

Scenario	Member aged 45	Member aged 55
Years to target retirement age	15	5
Net Zero Financial Crisis outcome	-4.0%	-3.0%
Limited Action outcome	-15.9	-0.1%
High Warming outcome	-24.4%	-0.7%

BlackRock Global 50:50 Index Fund (Change in projected at-retirement pot size relative to the climate uninformed scenario¹)

Scenario	Member aged 45	Member aged 55
Years to target retirement age	15	5
Net Zero Financial Crisis outcome	-5.3%	-5.4%
Limited Action outcome	-25.3%	-0.1%
High Warming outcome	-38.6%	-1.3%

¹ This scenario analysis is based on macro-economic data calibrated to market conditions at 30 September 2025.

Risk Management

1. Processes for identifying and assessing climate-related risks

- The Trustee has implemented a number of processes and tools for identifying, assessing and managing climate related risks and opportunities for the Plan, including:
 - attending climate related training to understand how climate-related risks might affect pension schemes and their investments in general terms;
 - undertaking climate scenario analysis which shows how the Plan's assets and liabilities might be affected under a range of climate scenarios;
 - receiving advice on how the sponsoring Employer might be impacted by climate-related factors and the implications for its ability to provide financial support to the Plan (acknowledging that following the full buy-in, there is now limited reliance on the sponsor covenant);
 - reviewing its investment adviser's assessments of the Plan's current investment managers' climate practices, including how they incorporate climate-related factors into their investment processes and how effectively they manage climate related risks;
 - ensure good stewardship practices are in place; and
 - monitor a range of climate-related metrics in relation to the Plan's assets.

In addition, the Trustee expects its investment managers to identify, assess and manage climate-related risks to the Plan's assets on a day-to-day basis. The Trustee invites managers to periodically attend meetings to provide updates on their approaches to identifying and assessing climate-related risks.

2. Investment manager assessments

2a. Review of managers' approaches to climate risks and opportunities

- LCP presented its high-level review of the Plan's DC Section investment managers' climate credentials in March 2024. This review included detailed analysis on the climate-risk management and alignment with net zero goals of each of the Plan managers invested as part of the popular DC arrangements (ie Plans that have more than £100m invested or account of 10% or more of total Plan assets). The review also included key actions for the Trustee to monitor the Plan managers on.
- Overall, the Trustee was satisfied that its managers had embedded climate considerations into their philosophy and management processes and that all the managers were taking steps to improve their climate capabilities. However, the Trustee did note that all managers had areas for improvement and agreed to engage with BlackRock in particular given it holds the majority of the DC Section's assets.
- Following a review of the climate metrics and targets, the Trustee met with BlackRock in March 2024. This was a follow up as part of the ongoing monitoring to further understand its stewardship practices, with a focus on its climate practices. Following a detailed review of the voting, engagement, stewardship and other RI practices of BlackRock, LCP set-out a list of key "asks" for BlackRock to improve its stewardship practices which the Trustee supports.

Risk Management

These asks, which were shared with BlackRock prior to the meeting, are as follows:

- Asking BlackRock for more narrative driven reporting on its engagement similar to its voting bulletins.
- Asking BlackRock to increase its consideration of systemic risks and to make specific demands of portfolio companies to address these risks.

As part of its presentation, BlackRock provided several examples of its engagement with portfolio companies and any positive outcomes achieved as a result of these engagements. BlackRock also acknowledged that they are looking to improve reporting for their investors.

The Trustee noted that BlackRock articulated its position much better as part of the presentation compared to its regular reporting. The Trustee agreed to continue to engage through LCP with BlackRock to improve its reporting.

LCP did not identify any significant concerns with the Plan's managers' climate approaches at the current time.

2b. Changes to investment mandates

If the Trustee identifies any concerns with the way one of the Plan's managers addresses climate related risks and opportunities, it will initially engage with the manager to raise concerns and seek improvements. If the manager does not sufficiently improve (or provide a clear improvement plan), the Trustee may switch to a different manager. Over the year under review no manager changes were made due to concerns over their climate approaches, although as mentioned previously and in the next section, discussions were held with managers regarding planned improvements to their processes during the Plan year.

2c. Engagement and other stewardship activities

The Trustee expects the Plan's investment managers to engage with investee companies on climate-related (and other) matters. The Trustee generally believes that engaging with companies is more effective at encouraging change than selling the Plan's investments in those companies.

The review of managers' climate approaches showed that all of the Plan's managers frequently engaged with portfolio companies on climate change.

In November 2022, the Trustee reviewed its stewardship priorities for the Plan, and agreed on human rights, corporate transparency, business ethics and climate change as priorities.

In February 2023, based on the results of the initial high level reviews of the Plan's investment managers' climate credentials, the Trustee followed up with the equity and corporate bond managers (L&G and BlackRock) used within the DC Section on engagement matters related to climate-related risks. This included, climate scenario analysis, alignment metrics, climate change revenue and coverage of reporting total emissions. The Trustee was satisfied with the managers' responses, noting the limitations as a result of the passive nature of these Plans. As mentioned in the previous section the Trustee also engaged with BlackRock in March 2024 to encourage improvements in its engagement practices, focussed on climate change.

More information on the Trustee's stewardship activities can be found in its Implementation Statement: [Library | Credit Suisse Group \(UK\) Pension Fund \(mycspensionplace.co.uk\)](#)

Risk Management

2d. ESG review of chosen buy-in provider

In September 2024, prior to transacting a full buy-in with Legal & General Assurance Society (“LGAS”), the Trustee asked its covenant adviser to conduct an evaluation of the insurer’s ESG approach and policies. This included an assessment of LGAS’s approach to managing climate related risks. The Trustee was comfortable with the outcome of this review, and agreed to proceed with the selection of LGAS as its chosen insurer.

3. Monitoring climate-related risks to the Plan

The Trustee has integrated climate change into the Plan’s risk management processes, including the Risk Register, covenant monitoring and investment monitoring.

3a. Risk Register

The Trustee maintains a Risk Register which covers all aspects of the Plan’s activities. It is reviewed in detail by the Trustee.

Each risk is rated in terms of its impact and likelihood, both on a scale of 1-10, and these figures are multiplied together to give an overall risk score out of 100. For the avoidance of doubt the lower the number, the lower the risk.

The Plan’s Risk Register is reviewed regularly to consider if any further risks need adding or amending, to assess any significant priority risks to manager and to ensure regular action is maintained in monitoring and mitigating these risks.

The Trustee’s current assessment, based on consideration of their impact and likelihood, is that climate-related risks are not immaterial and therefore should continue to be monitored in accordance with the current monitoring processes.

3b. Investment monitoring

When appropriate, the Trustee invites the Plan’s investment managers to present at Trustee meetings. During these meetings, the Trustee discusses climate change with the managers, to increase its understanding of the Plan’s climate related risks and challenge the adequacy of the steps being taken to manage them.

Additionally, the Trustee has chosen four climate-related metrics to help it monitor climate-related risks to the Plan. Please see next section of report for further details.

The Trustee has set climate change as one of its stewardship priorities. As the majority of the DC Section’s assets are invested passively, stewardship is the main tool the underlying managers can use to address climate related risks and opportunities. As mentioned in section 2a and 2b, the Trustee engaged with BlackRock encourage improvement in its stewardship practices.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics

The Trustee has chosen four climate-related metrics to help it monitor climate-related risks and opportunities to the Plan. These are listed below and reported in this section of the report for the DB and DC sections (as far as the Trustee was able to obtain the data).

Metric	High-level methodology
Absolute emissions: Total greenhouse gas emissions	The sum of each company's most recent reported or estimated greenhouse gas emissions attributable to the Plan's investment in the company, where data is available. Emissions are attributed evenly across equity and debt investors. Reported in tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent. This methodology was chosen because it is in line with the statutory guidance.
Emissions intensity: Carbon footprint	The total greenhouse gas emissions described above, divided by the value of the invested portfolio in £m, adjusted for data availability. Emissions are attributed evenly across equity and debt investors. Reported in tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent per £1m invested. This methodology was chosen because it is in line with the statutory guidance.
Portfolio alignment: Science-based targets (SBT)	The proportion of the portfolio by weight of holdings with science-based targets to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, demonstrated by a target validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) or equivalent. This measures the extent to which the Plan's investments are aligned to the Paris Agreement goals. Reported in percentage terms. The Trustee chose this "binary target" measure because it is the simplest and most robust of the various portfolio alignment metrics available.
Data quality	The proportion of the portfolio for which greenhouse gas emissions data is reported, estimated or unavailable. This approach was chosen because it is in line with the statutory guidance.

As part of year 1 and 2 TCFD reporting, following reviews of the metrics data, the Trustee engaged with the Plan's managers on areas where it felt there was room for improvement. The Trustee was comfortable with the responses received and noted that data coverage for climate metrics was expected to improve over time.

DC Section:

The following improvements in data have been observed as part of Year 3 reporting:

- Data coverage has broadly improved across scope 1, 2 and 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.
- Carbon footprint across Scope 1 and 2 for all Plans being reported either remained consistent or reduced over the period.
- The proportion of assets with science-based targets has increased.

DB Section:

- The DB section completed a full buy-in on 25 September 2024 and a transfer of assets to the UBS Section in October 2025. The remaining invested assets consist of two gilts, and cash. In practice, the Trustee has limited ability to influence or improve climate metrics in respect of these assets directly, given the nature of the assets. Accordingly, any year-on-year changes should be treated as observational rather than as indicators requiring action.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DB Section

The data has been calculated using portfolio holdings as at 30 September 2025, using the most recent data available in May 2026.

Portfolio holdings		Allocation at 30 September 2024		Allocation at 30 September 2025	
		£m	%	£m	%
Liquidity / Cash	Insight	299.3	24.3%	307.8	27.0%
Gilts	Insight	27.4	2.2%	24.0	2.1%
Buy-in asset	LGAS	907.0	73.5%	808.0	70.9%
Total DB Section		1,233.7	100.0%	1,139.8	100.0%

Notes:

Asset valuations provided by investment managers

Buy-in value is an estimate provided by the Scheme Actuary

Cash held in Trustee bank account not included

Figures may not sum due to rounding

Asset class (% DB assets)	Details of missing data and steps being taken to address it
Gilts	Full coverage
Buy-in	The data coverage is 100% for scope 1 & 2, which is positive. However, coverage for scope 3 is 0%, which the Trustee would like to see improved.
Cash	Metrics not reported on grounds of lack of methodology

Methodology for calculating Gilt metrics

Gilts metrics are calculated on a different basis to other asset classes, so cannot be compared with those of the buy-in.

The emissions intensity has been calculated as "total greenhouse gas emissions produced in the UK" divided by "UK GDP using PPP methodology" using publicly available data sources.

Total greenhouse gas emissions have been calculated as "value of your investment in gilts" multiplied by "emissions intensity". Note that there can be double counting across the portfolio where UK country emissions include UK company emissions already accounted for within the buy-in's credit exposure.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DB Section (continued)

Data shown is as at 30 September 2025 (unless otherwise stated). The DB section completed a full buy-in on 25 September 2024. The remaining invested assets consist of two gilts, and cash. Given the residual asset strategy has been in place for a full year, the Trustee was able to compare this year's metrics with previous year's metrics. **Metrics from the previous year are indicated in brackets.**

Manager, asset class and valuation (£m)		Scope 1 and 2 emissions (for holdings with data)				Scope 3 emissions (for holdings with data)				Portfolio alignment	Data source	Date of portfolio value and holdings
	30 September 2025 (30 September 2024)	Coverage	Total GHG emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Carbon footprint (tCO ₂ e/£m)	Data quality (% reported / estimated / unavailable)	Coverage	Total GHG emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Carbon footprint (tCO ₂ e/£m)	Data quality (% reported / estimated / unavailable)	Proportion with SBT (%)		
Gilts¹	24.0 (27.4)	100% (100%)	3,144 (4,649)	131 (170)	100 / 0 / 0 (100 / 0 / 0)	100% (100%)	3,839 (3,720)	160 (136)	100 / 0 / 0 (100 / 0 / 0)	100% ² (100% ²)	See below	30/09/25 (30/09/24)
Legal & General Buy-in³	808.0 (907.0)	100% (100%)	42,016 (46,257)	52 (57)	42 / 58 / 0 (39 / 61 / 0)	0% (0%)	Not available	Not available	0 / 0 / 100 (0 / 0 / 100)	N/A ⁴	See below	31/12/24 (31/12/23)

Source: Legal & General, LCP.

LCP Sources for Gilt metrics below. LCP has calculated metric figures in line with DWP guidelines.

GHG Emissions – Climate Watch (climatewatchdata.org)

Government debt – OECD Data (data.oecd.org)

GDP (PPP adjusted) – World Bank (data.worldbank.org)

UK government debt – Office for National Statistics (ons.gov.uk)

¹Details on the methodology used to calculate Gilt metrics are found on page 36.

²The UK has a net zero by 2050 target written into law, with carbon budgets based on advice from the independent Committee on Climate Change, so UK government bond exposure has been treated as having a credible science-based target.

³Legal and General has provided data for its annuity portfolio excluding cash and derivatives. It uses proxies where complete data is not available. The Plan's total emissions have been estimated as the carbon footprint per £m invested multiplied by the value of the Plan's policy. For sovereign bonds, a normaliser has been used consistent with EVIC for corporate bonds and equities. The policy value is an estimate that has been provided by the Scheme Actuary.

⁴Legal and General has stated that it no longer collects data for science-based target alignment.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DB Section (continued)

Below we set-out insights the Trustee obtained from reviewing the climate metrics data and next steps it is considering, along with their priority level.

Asset class	Interpreting the metrics	Next steps and priority level
Gilts	DWP requires disclosure of GHG emissions, but they are not a good indication of climate risk exposure for gilts.	We do not recommend any action to improve data quality.
	As the gilts allocation is driven by the Plan's objective to broadly match the estimated sensitivities of the residual liabilities, climate-related factors are not important considerations.	Low – In engagement with Insight, the Trustee focuses on: • Their policy advocacy, particularly with the UK government (including their approach to monitoring and engaging on emissions targets) • How they monitor and manage climate-related risks to counterparties • What information they can provide to help you understand and monitor your climate-related risk exposure through counterparties
	Nonetheless, the UK government's climate change policies will have an important economic influence on the Plan.	
Buy-in	DWP requires disclosure of GHG emissions, but they do not reflect the Plan's climate risk exposure.	Low – In engagement with L&G, the Trustee focuses on: • Provision of scope 3 emissions data • Exploring using a potential equivalent for SBTi alignment data
	The Plan's exposure lies in tail risk scenarios where climate factors affect the insurer's solvency.	
	The insurer's climate risk management practices are therefore more relevant.	

In practice, the Trustee has limited ability to influence or improve these metrics directly, given the nature of the assets.

For gilts, the reported emissions metrics largely reflect exposure at the level of the UK government rather than issuer-specific characteristics that the Trustee can influence.

For the buy-in policy, the Trustee does not control the underlying portfolio construction or day-to-day asset management, which are determined by the insurer in line with its regulatory and solvency requirements. While the Trustee can continue to encourage good quality disclosure and monitor the L&G's approach to managing climate-related risks, disclosures are already relatively strong and there are no specific actions the Trustee can take at this stage to materially change the metrics.

Accordingly, any year-on-year changes should be treated as observational rather than as indicators requiring action.


Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DC Section

Portfolio holdings	Allocation as at 30 September 2025	
	£m	%
BlackRock Global ESG Aware Equity Fund	116.0	38.2%
BlackRock Over 15 Year Gilts Index Fund	1.7	0.6%
BlackRock Money Market Fund	26.4	8.7%
Passive Multi Asset Fund	66.9	22.0%
BlackRock Global 50/50 Index Fund	58.2	19.2%
BlackRock European Equity Index Fund	4.1	1.4%
BlackRock Japanese Equity Index Fund	1.3	0.4%
BlackRock Over 5 Years Index Linked Gilt Index Fund	0.4	0.1%
BlackRock Pacific Rim Equity Index Fund	2.1	0.7%
BlackRock UK Equity Index Fund	4.4	1.4%
BlackRock US Equity Index Fund	13.9	4.6%
HSBC Islamic Fund	2.8	0.9%
L&G Global Emerging Markets Index Fund	2.2	0.7%
L&G Overseas Bond Fund	0.3	0.1%
L&G FTSE4Good UK Equity Index Fund	0.5	0.2%
Fidelity Global Equity Fund	1.3	0.4%
Fidelity Corporate Bond Fund	0.7	0.2%
Threadneedle Pooled Property Fund	0.3	0.1%
Fidelity Global ESG Focussed Equity Fund	0.1	<0.1%
Total DC Section	303.5	100.0%

The Funds in bold are utilised within the lifestyle strategies. The Global ESG Aware Equity Fund invests 90% in the BlackRock Low Carbon Equities Fund and 10% in the BlackRock Emerging Market Equities Fund. The Passive Multi-Asset Fund invests 60% in the Global ESG Aware Equity Fund, 10% in the BlackRock Corporate Bonds Fund, 10% in the L&G Overseas Bond Fund, 10% in the BlackRock Over 15 Year Gilts Index Fund and 10% in the BlackRock Over 5 Years Index Linked Gilt Index Fund.

CSPF Drawdown Lifestyle Strategy



As at 30 September 2025, the majority of DC assets (c.70%) were invested in funds used in the default strategy and alternative lifestyle strategies, with the assets allocated depending on members' expected retirement dates (as shown in the chart above for the default strategy). A further c.19% of DC assets were invested in the BlackRock Global 50:50 Index Fund on a self-select basis.

We have assessed "popular arrangements" to be an investment option with more than £100m invested or which accounts for 10% or more of total scheme assets.

The Trustee has not collected metrics for the arrangements that do not have at least £100m or 10% of DC assets, as they did not feel it was proportionate to do so. This is in line with the guidance issued by the Department for Work and Pensions on including data for "popular arrangements".

The metrics on the following slide are reported at the underlying fund level, details of which are provided under the table opposite.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DC Section (continued)

There are two popular arrangements within the DC section of the Plan: the default strategy and the BlackRock Global 50:50 Index Fund.

Reported climate data for the popular arrangements within the listed equities and corporate bonds asset classes is shown in the table below.

Climate data reported in respect of government bonds will be estimated as not all governments report this at present. This is shown on page 8. At present, there are gaps in reporting climate data for cash funds. The Trustee, with help from its investment consultant, continues to work with the Plan's investment managers to improve data reporting over time.

Data shown is as at 30 September 2025. Data reported as at 30 September 2024 has also been included in brackets in the table for comparison purposes. Where there have been improvements in the climate metric or where the metric has remained at a level that cannot be improved as at 30 September 2025 compared with data reported as at 30 September 2024, this is shown in **green** text. Where the climate metric has worsened, this is shown in **red** text. The decrease in assets due to the bulk transfer of assets to the Fidelity Master Trust over the year has materially impacted the metrics.

Asset class	Manager, asset class and valuation (£m)		Scope 1 and 2 emissions (for holdings with data)			Scope 3 emissions (for holdings with data)			Portfolio alignment	Data source ³	Date of portfolio value and holdings
			Coverage	Total GHG emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Carbon footprint (tCO ₂ e/£m)	Coverage	Total GHG emissions (tCO ₂ e) ⁴	Carbon footprint (tCO ₂ e/£m)	Proportion with SBT (%)		
Listed equities	BlackRock Low Carbon Equities ¹	140.5	£140.1m / 100%	1,115	8	£140.1m / 100%	11,768	84	59%	BlackRock	30/09/2025
		(697.9)	(£696.0m / 100%)	(7,837)	(11)	(£696.0m / 100%)	(68,904)	(99)	(50%)	(BlackRock)	(30/09/2024)
	BlackRock Emerging Market Equities ¹	15.7	£15.1m / 96%	2,497	165	£15.2m / 96%	9,135	601	22%	BlackRock	30/09/2025
		(78.2)	(£77.1m / 99%)	(14,836)	(193)	(£77.3 / 99%)	(61,454)	(795)	(18%)	(BlackRock)	(30/09/2024)
	BlackRock Global 50:50 Equities ²	58.2	£56.9m / 98%	3,853	68	£56.9m / 98%	39,887	701	54%	BlackRock	30/09/2025
		(169.2)	(£164.0m / 97%)	(12,444)	(75)	(£164.1m / 97%)	(119,465)	(728)	(51%)	(BlackRock)	(30/09/2024)
Corporate bonds	BlackRock Corporate Bonds ¹	6.7	£6.1m / 92%	166	27	£6.2m / 92%	1,817	293	30%	BlackRock	30/09/2025
		(18.5)	(£16.8m / 91%)	(722)	(43)	(£16.7m / 90%)	(6,530)	(391)	(28%)	(BlackRock)	(30/09/2024)

Source: Fidelity, BlackRock.

See the appendix for more details, including how to interpret data where coverage is less than 100%. Coverage has been rounded to the nearest percent and therefore where coverage is stated as 100% there may be a small amount of assets not covered.

¹This fund is used in the default strategy.

²This fund is available in the self-select fund range only.

³Data has been sourced directly from BlackRock. This may cause some material differences in metrics compared to those of the previous year, which were calculated by LCP using MSCI data.

⁴Total GHG emissions for Scope 3 emissions have been restated for 30 September 2024 to reflect changes in the methodology underlying the calculations.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DC Section (continued)

Asset class	Manager, asset class and valuation (£m)		Scope 1 and 2 emissions (for holdings with data)			Scope 3 emissions (for holdings with data)			Portfolio alignment	Data source	Date of portfolio value and holdings
			Coverage	Total GHG emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Carbon footprint (tCO ₂ e/£m)	Coverage	Total GHG emissions (tCO ₂ e) ⁷	Carbon footprint (tCO ₂ e/£m)	Proportion with SBT (%)		
Government bonds	BlackRock Over 5 years Gilts ^{1,2}	7.1 (22.0)	£7.1m / 100% (£22.0m / 100%)	941 (3,564)	133 (162)	£7.1m / 100% (£22.0m / 100%)	1,150 (2,244)	162 (102)	100% ³ (100% ³)	LCP calculations (LCP calculations)	30/09/2025 (30/09/2024)
	BlackRock Over 15 Years Gilts ^{1,2}	8.4 (24.7)	£8.4m / 100% (£24.7m / 100%)	1,113 (4,002)	133 (162)	£8.4m / 100% (£24.7m / 100%)	1,361 (2,519)	162 (102)	100% ³ (100% ³)	LCP calculations (LCP calculations)	30/09/2025 (30/09/2024)
	L&G Overseas Bonds ¹	6.9 (20.4)	£6.8m / 98% (£18.5m / 91%)	891 (4,201)	128 (227)	N/A ⁴ (£18.5m / 91%)	N/A ⁴ (1,652)	N/A ⁴ (81)	37% ⁵ (32% ³)	L&G (LCP calculations)	30/09/2025 (30/09/2024)
Cash	BlackRock Money Market ^{1,6}	26.4 (59.7)	Data unavailable	Data unavailable	Data unavailable	Data unavailable	Data unavailable	Data unavailable	Data unavailable	Data unavailable	30/09/2025 (30/09/2024)

Source: LCP calculation based on publicly available data, Fidelity, L&G. See the appendix for more detail on how the data has been calculated.

¹This fund is used in the default strategy.

²We have restated total GHG emissions and carbon footprint figures for 30 September 2024 for these funds to reflect the methodology used in previous years.

³Our estimates assume gilts to have a science-based target. This is because the United Kingdom has net zero emissions by 2050 written into law, with interim carbon budgets set based on advice from the independent Committee on Climate Change.

⁴L&G has not been able to provide this information for Scope 3 emissions.

⁵Our estimates are based on the Fund's investments in sovereigns from countries with formalised net-zero targets in law, as provided by Climate Watch.

⁶At present, there are gaps in reporting climate data for cash funds as the methodology for collating data in this asset class has not been established.


⁷Total GHG emissions for Scope 3 emissions have been restated for 30 September 2024 to reflect changes in the methodology underlying the calculations.

Metrics and Targets



1. Metrics – DC Section (continued)

The charts on this page summarise the climate metrics data in respect of listed equities and corporate bonds.

Government bond metrics are calculated on a different basis to other asset classes, so cannot be compared with listed equities and corporate bonds and hence we have not aggregated with them. We show data for Government bonds on the following page.



Proportion with science-based targets



Metrics and Targets


1. Metrics – DC Section (continued)

The charts on this page summarise the climate metrics data estimated for government bonds.


Scope 3 emissions are those embodied in goods and services imported by the country and consumed within the country, whereas Scope 2 emissions are those produced in the country. Unlike listed equities and corporate bonds, Scope 3 emissions for government bonds are estimated to be lower than Scope 2 emissions.

Proportion of government bond funds with science-based targets assume government bonds issued by a country with a legally binding commitment and regular carbon budgets are equivalent to an SBT.


Proportion with science-based targets



Total GHG emissions (tCO₂e)*
(for holdings with data)



Carbon footprint (tCO₂e/£m)*
(for holdings with data)




Source: LCP calculation based on publicly available data, L&G. *L&G were unable to provide Scope 3 data for the L&G Overseas Bond Fund. ¹Based on £1m invested in proportion to the Plan's DC assets in the three funds shown on this page for which data is available. See the Appendix for more details.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DC Section (continued)

Breakdown of data quality as at 30 September 2025¹



We have not shown the breakdown of data quality for funds invested in Government Bonds since any carbon emission metrics for government bonds are calculated based on estimates. In addition, our estimates assume government bonds issued by a country with a legally binding commitment and regular carbon budgets are equivalent to an SBT.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DC Section (continued)

Below and on the following page we set-out insights the Trustee obtained from reviewing the climate metrics data and next steps (where applicable) we are considering along with their priority level.

Asset class	Interpreting the metrics	Next steps and priority level
Listed equities	• Equities make the most significant contribution to climate risk in the Plan, both as a result of equities being one of the assets most strongly impacted by climate risk and given the high allocation in the default. A high carbon footprint can indicate increased exposure to transition risks, as well as the contribution the portfolio makes to increasing global warming. • Data quality is high for all equity funds, with a large proportion of assets having reported scope 1 and 2 emissions and estimated scope 3 emissions, which helps to give a clearer indication of where risks are concentrated in the portfolio. • The BlackRock Low Carbon Equities fund has a significantly lower carbon footprint for Scope 1 and 2, and Scope 3 metrics than the two other equity funds. The fund's carbon footprint has decreased further over the year. • The carbon footprint (Scope 1 and 2) for the BlackRock Emerging Markets Equity Index Fund is significantly higher than the BlackRock Global 50:50 Equities Fund. This reflects differences between emerging markets and developed markets, including a greater reliance on high-carbon fuels such as coal, and a larger role for industries with correspondingly high emissions. • A smaller proportion of assets in the BlackRock Emerging Markets Equity Fund have SBT targets, which reflects the relatively large share in emerging markets of high-emitting industries for which Net Zero alignment is more challenging. Also, companies in emerging markets may be smaller in size and have less capacity to resource sustainability issues appropriately. This indicates a high level of transition risk. However, the proportion has increased since last year's reporting, and we note that this fund forms a small proportion of Plan assets.	• Low: engage with BlackRock on how it is maximising its impact when engaging with companies on climate, in particular those in emerging markets.
Corporate bonds	• Corporate bonds have a small contribution to climate risk in the Plan, with the BlackRock Corporate Bonds Fund being the only fund invested in this asset class that is used in the default, with a relatively low carbon footprint across Scope 1 and 2, and Scope 3 emissions. • Data coverage is high (although slightly lower than for equities). • The percentage of reported assets with science-based targets remains low in comparison to the Plan's developed equity funds. However, we have seen continued improvements when compared with previous years.	• Low: engage with BlackRock to encourage investee companies to adopt science based targets.

Metrics and Targets

1. Metrics – DC Section (continued)

Asset class	Interpreting the metrics	Next steps and priority level
Government bonds	• Government bond funds have a relatively small contribution to climate risk in the Plan. Government bonds make up a small portion of assets in the default. • Data quality is high for all government bond funds but is estimated based on the country issuing the debt, making it difficult to do a like for like comparison of the government bond funds. • Carbon footprint levels for scope 3 emissions have increased over the year across the gilts funds. Scope 3 GHG emissions are estimated based on government debt and imported GHG emissions, which are challenging to estimate for Scope 3. • SBTs are high for UK government bond funds. This is based on the UK having a legally binding net zero commitment and regular carbon budgets, which we view as the equivalent to an SBT. The L&G Overseas Bond Fund has a much lower proportion of the portfolio with science-based targets, as not all countries that the fund is invested in have legally binding commitments and are therefore not deemed to have science-based targets.	• As metrics for the government bond funds are based on country level activity and are therefore more difficult to influence than at a company level, the Trustee concluded that there was no immediate action.
Cash	• The contribution of cash funds to climate risk cannot be reported on currently. At present, there are gaps in reporting climate data for cash funds as the methodology for collating data in this asset class has not been established. • Cash is a relatively small portion of overall assets in the Plan, with the BlackRock Money Market Fund being the only cash fund the Plan is invested in.	• The contribution of Cash to the overall climate risk of the Plan is likely to be low so the Trustee concluded that there was no immediate action.

Metrics and Targets


2. Target (DB and DC Sections)

The Trustee has set the following target and will monitor progress towards this over time:

Target	DB Section coverage	DC Section coverage	Reference date
80% of listed equity and corporate bond investments to have set science-based targets by 2030	The Plan's DB Section was bought out by L&G on 25 September 2024. There were no equity or corporate bond holdings remaining as at 30 September 2025.	Listed equities and corporate bonds within the default fund (c.77% of assets in the DC default fund)	31 March 2022

Initial performance against the target

Proportion of listed equity and corporate bond investment with science-based targets (DC)



The following steps are being taken to achieve the target:

- Investment managers are sometimes invited to present at Trustee meetings as part of the existing monitoring process. This includes meeting with BlackRock in March 2024 to engage with the manager about its stewardship practices in relation to managing climate related risks. When meeting with any of the Plan's investment managers, the Trustee will periodically ask the manager how they expect the proportion of portfolio companies with science-based targets to change over time and encourage the manager to engage with portfolio companies about setting science-based targets prioritising those with the highest carbon footprint.
- Science-based targets are often validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi). Where relevant, the Trustee will ask the manager about "equivalent" methods of assessing whether emissions reduction targets are science-based, for example for holdings for which SBTi validation is not available or not well suited, with a view to extending the coverage of the SBT metric.
- The investment consultant encourages managers to support the goal of net zero emissions by 2050 or earlier and has published its expectations for investment managers in relation to net zero. This includes the use of effective voting (where applicable) and engagement with portfolio companies to encourage achievement of net zero. The investment consultant continues to engage with managers on this topic and will encourage them to use their influence with portfolio companies to increase the use of SBT.
- Climate change is one of the Trustee's four stewardship priorities which it has communicated to its managers and also its expectation for managers to consider this priority area when undertaking voting and engagement.
- The Trustee will review progress towards the target each year and consider whether additional steps are needed to increase their chance of meeting the target.



Appendices


- Appendix 1 – Greenhouse gas emissions Page 31
- Appendix 2 – Climate scenario analysis Page 32
- Appendix 3 – Further information on climate-related metrics Page 38
- Appendix 4 – Glossary of terms Page 40

Appendix 1 – Greenhouse gas emissions explained

Within the 'metrics and targets' section of the report, the emissions metrics relate to seven greenhouse gases – carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆) and nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃). The figures are shown as "CO₂ equivalent" (CO₂e) which is the amount of carbon dioxide that would be equivalent to the excess energy being stored by, and heating, the earth due to the presence in the atmosphere of these seven greenhouse gases.

The metrics related to greenhouse gas emissions are split into the following three categories: Scope 1, 2 and 3. These categories describe how directly the emissions are related to an entity's operations. Scope 3 emissions often form the largest share of an entity's total emissions, but are also the ones that the entity has least control over.

- **Scope 1** greenhouse gas emissions are all direct emissions from the activities of an entity or activities under its control.
- **Scope 2** greenhouse gas emissions are indirect emissions from energy purchased and used by an entity.
- **Scope 3** greenhouse gas emissions are all indirect emissions from activities of the entity, other than scope 2 emissions, which occur from sources that the entity does not directly control.



Appendix 2 – Climate scenario analysis

Scenarios considered and why the Trustee chose them

The Trustee carried out climate scenario analysis as at 30 September 2025 with the support of their investment consultants, LCP, used scenarios based on the latest scientific and macro-economic data at 31 December 2024. The analysis looked at three possible scenarios:

Transition	Description	Why the Trustee chose it
High Warming	There are no new* low-carbon policies enacted in this scenario and some existing ones are scaled back. Current technological trends continue. The world fails to meet the Paris Agreement goals, and temperatures rise significantly.	To explore what could happen to the Plan's finances if carbon emissions continue at broadly current levels and this results in severe physical risks from changes in the global climate that disrupt economic activity.
Limited Action	Policymakers implement limited new climate policies and fall short of meeting the Paris Agreement goals.	To see how the Plan's finances could play out if limited additional climate action is taken, meaning that temperature rises far exceed 2°C by 2100 - resulting in significant physical risks - and policy changes result in some transition risks as financial markets adjust.
Net Zero Financial Crisis	Global net zero carbon emissions achieved in the mid 2050s; rapid and effective climate action (including using carbon capture and storage), but financial markets react abruptly in 2026.	To look at the risks and opportunities for the Plan if global net zero carbon emissions is achieved by the mid 2050s, but financial markets are volatile as they adjust to a low carbon economy.

*New compared to the International Energy Agency's World Energy Outlook 2022 – Stated Policies Scenario (STEPS)

The Trustee acknowledges that many alternative plausible scenarios exist, but found these were a helpful set of scenarios to explore how climate change might affect the Plan in future.

The intricacies of climate systems present considerable difficulties in modelling the impacts on pension schemes' assets and liabilities. This is particularly true in the High Warming scenario where nearly 4°C of warming is observed by 2100. Due to the unprecedented nature of such warming, it is challenging to encompass all potential consequences within the modelling process. Simplifications in the modelling mean the actual impact on pension schemes may be more significant than is currently being modelled. The Trustee has considered the potential impact of such limitations in the modelling. The Trustee is comfortable that, as long as these limitations are understood, the scenarios still provide valuable insights to inform climate risk assessment and management.

To provide further insight, the Trustee also compared the outputs under each scenario to a "base case", that makes some allowance for the physical risks of climate change and the transition to a low carbon economy.

The scenarios' key features are summarised on the following page.

Appendix 2 – Climate scenario analysis

The climate scenarios considered by the Trustee

Scenarios as at 31 December 2024 – key features

Scenarios:	High Warming	Limited Action	Net Zero Financial Crisis
Low carbon policies	There are no new* low-carbon policies enacted in this scenario and some existing ones re scaled back. Current technological trends continue (eg significant falls in renewable energy prices).	Moderate steps taken by policymakers but commitments made under the Paris Agreement are not fully met.	Ambitious low carbon policies, high investment in low carbon technologies and substitution away from fossil fuels to cleaner energy sources and biofuel. Carbon Capture and Storage also used to achieve global net zero CO ₂ by 2055.
Paris Agreement outcome	Paris Agreement goals not met.	Paris Agreement goals not met.	Global net zero CO ₂ achieved by 2055. Paris Agreement goal nearly met.
Global warming	Average global warming is about 2°C by 2050 and 3.7°C by 2100, compared to pre-industrial levels.	Average global warming is about 1.8°C by 2050 and 2.9°C by 2100, compared to pre-industrial levels.	Average global warming stabilises at around 1.6°C above pre-industrial levels.
Physical impacts	Severe physical impacts. Multiple climate tipping points are reached and modelled and many countries suffer from extreme weather events.	High physical impacts.	Moderate physical impacts.
Impact on GDP	Global GDP in 2100 predicted to be almost 80% lower than in the Ortec Finance / Cambridge Econometrics base case.	Global GDP in 2100 predicted to be about 60% lower than in the Ortec Finance/Cambridge Econometrics base case.	Global GDP in 2100 predicted to be about 5% lower than in the Ortec Finance / Cambridge Econometrics base case.
Financial market impacts	Financial markets price in climate-related risks in 2030 and 2039 as the scale of future risks become more widely accepted and understood.	Financial markets price in climate-related risks in 2030 and 2039 as the scale of future risks become more widely accepted and understood.	Abrupt repricing of assets and a sentiment shock to the financial system in 2026.

*New compared to the International Energy Agency's World Energy Outlook 2022 – Stated Policies Scenario (STEPS)

Source: Ortec Finance, modelling as at 31 December 2024. Figures quoted are medians.

Appendix 2 – Climate scenario analysis

Modelling approach

- The scenario analysis is based on a model developed by Ortec Finance and Cambridge Econometrics. The outputs were then applied to the Scheme's assets and liabilities by LCP.
- The three climate scenarios are projected year by year, over the next 40 years.
- The results are intended to help the Trustee to consider how resilient the DB funding strategy and the DC default strategy is to climate-related risks.
- The Trustee discussed how future planned changes to the investment strategies for both DB and DC Sections would change the analysis.
- The three climate scenarios chosen are intended to be plausible narratives of how the future could unfold. They are only three scenarios out of countless others which could have been considered. Other scenarios could give better or worse outcomes for the Scheme.
- The results discussed in this report have been based on macro-economic data at 31 December 2024, calibrated to market conditions at 30 September 2025.

Modelling limitations

- As this is a “top-down” approach, investment market impacts were modelled as the average projected impacts for each asset class. This contrasts with a “bottom up” approach that would model the impact on each individual investment held by the DB Section and within the DC default strategy. As such, the modelling does not require extensive scheme-specific data and so the Trustee was able to consider the potential impacts of the three climate scenarios for all of the Plan's DB assets and DC assets in the default strategy.
- In practice, the CS Section's investments may not experience climate impacts in line with the market average.
- The asset and liability projections shown reflect the Plan's current strategic journey plan. No allowance is made for changes that might be made to the funding or investment strategy as the climate pathways unfold, nor for action to be taken in response to the CS Section achieving its long-term funding target.
- Like most modelling of this type, the modelling does not allow for all potential climate-related impacts and may underestimate the potential impacts of climate-related risks. For example, impacts on health, mortality and migration flows are not explicitly modelled. Tipping points (which could cause runaway physical climate impacts) are only modelled in the High Warming scenario and the actual physical impacts could be very different to what has been modelled.
- In addition, the model presumes that the UK government and bank counterparties will remain solvent, thereby making no allowance for credit risk on government bonds and derivative exposures. However, in higher temperature scenarios, this assumption may no longer be valid.
- Medians from Ortec Finance's model outputs are used to project forward assets and liabilities, which means the results reflect the model's “middle outcomes” for investment markets under the three scenarios. Allowing for market volatility would result in better or worse model outputs than shown. Investment markets may be more volatile in future as a result of physical and transition risks from climate change, and this is not illustrated in the modelling shown.
- The DB Section currently has an insurance contract covering all known DB benefits payable to pensioners. As this contract exactly matches the DB benefits payable to members, it has been excluded from the analysis. The Trustee considered qualitatively how insurance contracts might be affected by climate risk.

Appendix 2 – Climate scenario analysis

Modelling approach – more details

- The scenario analysis is based on the ClimateMAPS model developed by Ortec Finance and Cambridge Econometrics, and was then applied to the Scheme's assets and liabilities by LCP. The three climate scenarios were projected year by year, over the next 40 years.
- The model output is supported by in-depth narratives that bring the scenarios to life to help the Trustee's understanding of climate-related risks and opportunities.
- ClimateMAPS uses Cambridge Econometrics' macroeconomic model which integrates a range of social and environmental processes, including carbon emissions and the energy transition. It is one of the most comprehensive models of the global economy and is widely used for policy assessment, forecasting and research purposes. The outputs from this macroeconomic modelling – primarily the impacts on country/regional GDP – are then translated into impacts on financial markets by Ortec Finance using assumed relationships between the macroeconomic and financial parameters.
- Ortec Finance runs the projections many times using stochastic modelling to illustrate the wide range of climate impacts that may be possible, under each scenario's climate pathway. LCP takes the median (ie the middle outcome) of this range of impacts, for each relevant financial parameter, and adjusts it to improve its alignment with LCP's standard financial assumptions. LCP then uses these adjusted median impacts to project the assets and liabilities of the Plan to illustrate how the different scenarios could affect its funding level. The modelling summarised in this report used scenarios based on the latest scientific and macro-economic data at 31 December 2024, calibrated to market conditions at 30 September 2025.
- The modelling included contributions assumed to be paid in line with the current Schedule of Contributions, and the Trustee discussed how future planned changes to the investment strategies for both DB and DC Sections would change the analysis. For the DC Section, members' starting pots values were assumed to equal the average value for Scheme members of their age, and member and employer contributions were assumed to be paid in line with the current contribution structure. No allowance was made for changes to the investment strategy or contributions in response to the climate impacts modelled.
- As this is a "top-down" approach, investment market impacts were modelled as the average projected impacts for each asset class, ie assuming that the Plan's investments are affected by climate risk in line with the market-average portfolio for the asset class. This contrasts with a "bottom up" approach that would model the impact on each individual investment held in the Plan's investment portfolio. As such, it does not require extensive scheme-specific data and so the Trustee was able to consider the potential impacts of the three climate scenarios for all of the Plan's assets.

Appendix 3 – Further information on climate-related metrics

UK government bonds

GHG emissions for government bonds (gilts) are calculated on a different basis from the other asset classes, so cannot be compared with the other emissions figures shown.

The emissions figures were calculated by the Trustee's investment adviser using publicly available data sources. As suggested in the statutory guidance, Scope 1+2 emissions have been interpreted as the production-based emissions of the country. Scope 3 emissions have been interpreted as the emissions embodied in goods and services imported by the country and consumed within the country (rather than re-exported).

In line with guidance from the Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials (PCAF) issued in December 2022, emissions intensity has been calculated as:

$$\frac{UK\ GHG\ emissions}{PPP - adjusted\ GDP\ for\ the\ UK}$$

GHG emissions have then been calculated as: *Emissions intensity x value of the Scheme's investment in gilts.*

These are measures of the country's reliance on greenhouse emissions. There can be issues of double counting across the portfolio where the country's emissions double count the country's company emissions already accounted for within other asset classes.

Buy-in policy

The provider was unable to supply meaningful Plan-specific climate data for the Plan's annuity holdings and so portfolio-level reporting was used instead.

The Trustee therefore used metrics reported for the provider's full investment portfolio as at 31 December 2024, being the latest reporting date available. In the absence of Plan-specific data, this approach assumes that the Plan's policy has the same asset mix to the provider's overall investment portfolio, although the Trustee recognises that this may not be the case in practice.

Total greenhouse gas emissions were estimated using the provider's carbon intensity metric, expressed in tCO₂e per £m invested on an EVIC basis, applied to the estimated value of the Plan's annuity policy at 30 September 2025.

Appendix 4 – Glossary

Actuarial valuation – an actuarial valuation is an accounting exercise performed to estimate future liabilities arising out of benefits that are payable to members of a DB pension scheme, typically once every three years. In the actuarial valuation exercise, a liability payout at a future date is estimated using various assumptions such as discounting rate and salary growth rate.

Alignment – in a climate change context, alignment is the process of bringing greenhouse gas emissions in line with 1.5°C temperature rise targets. It can be applied to individual companies, investment portfolios and the global economy.

Asset class – a group of securities which exhibit broadly similar characteristics. Examples include equities and bonds.

Avoided emissions – these are reductions in greenhouse gas emissions that occur outside of a product's life cycle of value chain, but as a result of the use of that product. For example, emissions avoided through use of a wind turbine or buildings insulation.

Bond – a bond is a security issued to investors by companies, governments and other organisations. In exchange for an upfront payment, an investor normally expects to receive a series of regular interest payments plus, at maturity, a final lump sum payment, typically equal to the amount invested originally, or this amount increased by reference to some index.

Buy-in – DB pension scheme trustees may choose to “buy-in” some of their scheme's expected future benefit payments by purchasing a bulk (ie one covering many individuals) annuity contract with an insurance company. This allows the trustees to reduce their scheme's risk by acquiring an asset (the annuity contract) whose cash flows are designed to meet ie “match” a specified set of benefit payments under the pension scheme. The contract is held by the trustees and responsibility for the benefit payments remains with the trustees. Common uses of buy-in arrangements have been to cover the payments associated with current pensioners or a subset of those members. Contracts to meet payments to members who are yet to become pensioners can also be purchased.

Buy-out – DB pension scheme trustees may choose to “buy-out” some or all of their scheme's expected future benefit payments by purchasing a bulk (ie one covering many individuals) annuity contract from an insurance company. The insurer then becomes responsible for meeting pension benefits due to scheme members (effected ultimately by allocating to each scheme member an individual annuity contract). Following a full buy-out, (ie one covering all scheme members) and having discharged all of the trustees' liabilities, the pension scheme would normally be wound up.

Carbon emissions - These refer to the release of carbon dioxide, or greenhouse gases more generally, into the atmosphere, for example from the burning of fossil fuels for power or transport purposes.

Carbon footprint – In an investment context, the total carbon dioxide or greenhouse gas emissions generated per amount invested (eg in £m) by an investment Plan. Related definitions are used to apply the term to organisations, countries and individuals

Climate change adaptation – steps taken to adapt to the physical effects of climate change such as improving flood defences and installing air conditioning.

Climate change mitigation – steps taken to limit climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, for example by shifting to renewable sources of energy – such as solar and wind – and by using less energy and using it more efficiently.

Covenant – the ability and willingness of the sponsor to make up any shortfall between a DB scheme's assets and the agreed funding target.

Credit – long-term debt issued by a company, also known as corporate bonds. Corporate bonds carry different levels of credit risk which is indicated by their rating and credit spread.

Defined Benefit (DB) – a pension scheme in which the primary pension benefit payable to a member is based on a defined formula, frequently linked to salary. The sponsor bears the risk that the value of the investments held under the scheme fall short of the amount needed to meet the benefits.

Appendix 4 – Glossary

Defined Contribution (DC) – a pension scheme in which the sponsor stipulates how much it will contribute to the arrangement which will depend upon the level of contributions the member is prepared to make. The resultant pension for each member is a function of the investment returns achieved (net of expenses) on the contributions and the terms for purchasing a pension at retirement. In contrast to a defined benefit scheme, the individual member bears the risk that the investments held are insufficient to meet the desired benefits.

Debt – money borrowed by a company or government which normally must be repaid at some specified point in the future.

Default strategy – the fund or mix of funds in which contributions in respect of a DC member will be invested in the absence of any explicit fund choice(s) of that member.

Environmental, social and governance (ESG) – an umbrella term that encompasses a wide range of factors that may have been overlooked in traditional investment approaches. Environmental considerations might include physical resource management, pollution prevention and greenhouse gas emissions. Social factors are likely to include workplace diversity, health and safety, and the company's impact on its local community. Governance-related matters include executive compensation, board accountability and shareholder rights.

Equity – through purchase on either the primary market or the secondary market, company equity gives the purchaser part-ownership in that company and hence a share of its profits, typically received through the payment of dividends. Equity also entitles the holder to vote at shareholder meetings. Note that equity holders are entitled to dividends only after other obligations, such as interest payments to debt holders, are first paid. Unlike debt, equity is not normally contractually repayable.

Ethical investment – an approach that selects investments on the basis of an agreed set of environmental, social and governance (ESG) criteria that are motivated by ethical considerations. These can be positive – eg choosing companies involved in water conservation or negative – eg not choosing companies involved in the arms trade.

Fiduciary obligations – a legal obligation of one party (a fiduciary) to act in the best interest of others. Fiduciaries are people or legal entities that are entrusted with the care of money or property on behalf of others. They include pension scheme trustees.

Fossil fuels – fuels made from decomposing plants and animals, which are found in the Earth's crust. They contain carbon and hydrogen, which can be burned for energy. Coal, oil, and natural gas are examples of fossil fuels.

Funding position – a comparison of the value of assets with the value of liabilities for a DB pension scheme.

Gilts – bonds issued by the UK government. They are called gilts as the bond certificates originally had a gilt edge to indicate their high quality and thus very low probability of default

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (scopes 1, 2 and 3) – gases that have been and continue to be released into the Earth's atmosphere. Greenhouse gases trap radiation from the sun which subsequently heats the planet's surface (giving rise to the "greenhouse effect"). Carbon dioxide and methane are two of the most important greenhouse gases. See also Appendix 1.

Gross Domestic Product (GDP) – this is the value of all goods and services produced in a country over a given period, typically a year.

Investment mandate – see pooled mandate and segregated mandate

Integrated risk management – Integrated risk management is an approach used by DB pension scheme trustees to identify, manage and monitor the wide range of risks (relating to investment, funding and covenant) which might impact the chances of meeting their scheme's overall objectives

Liabilities – obligations to make a payment in the future. An example of a liability is the pension benefit 'promise' made to DB pension scheme members, such as the series of cash payments made to members in retirement. The more distant the liability payment, the more difficult it often is to predict what it will actually be and hence what assets need to be held to meet it.

Appendix 4 – Glossary

LDI (Liability Driven Investment) – an investment approach which focusses more than has traditionally been the case on matching the sensitivities of a DB pension scheme's assets to those of its underlying liabilities in response to changes in certain factors, most notably interest rate and inflation expectations.

Net zero – this describes the situation in which total greenhouse gas emissions released into the atmosphere are equal to those removed. This can be considered at different levels, eg company, investor, country or global.

Offsetting – the process of paying someone else to avoid emitting, or to remove from the atmosphere, a specified quantity of greenhouse gases, for example through planting trees or installing wind turbines. It is sometimes used to meet net zero and other emission reduction targets.

Paris Agreement – the Paris Agreement is an international treaty on climate change, adopted in 2015. It covers climate change mitigation, adaptation and finance. Its primary goal is to limit global warming to well below 2°C, preferably to 1.5°C, compared to pre-industrial levels.

Physical risk – these are climate-related risks that arise from changes in the climate itself. They include risks from more extreme storms and flooding, as well as rising temperatures and changing rainfall patterns.

Pooled mandate – a feature of a collective investment vehicle whereby an investor's money is aggregated (ie "pooled") with that of other investors to purchase assets. Investors are allotted a share of those assets in proportion to their contribution. Ownership is represented by the number of "units" allocated – eg if the asset pool is worth £1m and there are 1m units then each unit is worth £1. Pooled funds offer smaller investors an easy way to gain exposure to a wide range of investments, both within markets (eg by buying units in a UK equity fund) as well as across markets (eg by buying units in both a UK equity fund and a UK corporate bond fund).

Portfolio alignment metric – this measures how aligned a portfolio is with a transition to a world targeting a particular climate outcome, such as limiting temperature rises to well below 2°C, preferably to 1.5°C, as per the Paris Agreement. Assessments using these metrics consider companies' and governments' greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction plans and likelihood of meeting them, rather than current, or the latest reported, GHG emissions.

Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) – the PPP is a theory of long-term equilibrium in exchange rates based on relative prices. For example, if the price of a basket of goods in the UK is £100 and the same basket costs \$200 in the USA, then the PPP exchange rate would be £1:\$2. The PPP rate and the actual market exchange rate can differ.

Responsible Investment (RI) – the process by which environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues are incorporated into the investment analysis and decision-making process, and into the oversight of investments companies through stewardship activities. It is motivated by financial considerations aiming to improve risk-adjusted returns.

Science-based targets – targets to reduce greenhouse gas emissions that are in line with what the latest climate science deems necessary to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement.

Science-Based Targets initiative (SBTi) – an organisation that sets standards and provides validation for science-based targets set by companies and investors.

Scenario analysis – a tool for examining and evaluating different ways in which the future may unfold.

Scope 1, 2 and 3 – a classification of greenhouse gas emissions. See Appendix 1.

Segregated mandate – a segregated investment approach ensures that an investor's investments are held separately from those of other investors. This approach offers great flexibility – for example, the investor can stipulate the precise investment objective to be followed and can dictate which securities can or cannot be held.

Self-select – in contrast with a default fund, a self-select fund within a DC scheme is one of a range of funds that members can choose to invest in.

Stakeholder – an individual or group that has an interest in any decision or activity of an organisation. The stakeholders of a company include its employees, customers, suppliers and shareholders.

Statutory obligations – statutory obligations are those obligations that do not arise out of a contract, but are imposed by law.

Appendix 4 – Glossary

Stewardship – stewardship is the responsible allocation, management and oversight of capital to create long-term value for clients and beneficiaries leading to sustainable benefits for the economy, the environment and society. It is often implemented via engagement with investee companies and exercising voting rights.

Stranded assets – assets that have suffered an unanticipated loss of value before the end of their expected useful economic life. The term is most often applied to fossil fuel investments in the context of climate policy, where legislative and market developments may result in assets being worth less than the value recorded on company balance sheets.

Sustainable investing - an approach in which an assessment of the environmental and social sustainability a company's products and practices is a key driver in the investment decision. ESG analysis therefore forms a cornerstone of the investment selection process.

Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) – a group of senior preparers and users of financial disclosures from G20 countries, established by the international Financial Stability Board in 2015. The TCFD has developed a set of recommendations for climate-related financial risk disclosures for use by companies, financial institutions and other organisations to inform investors and other parties about the climate-related risks they face.

Transition risk – these are climate-related risks that arise from the transition to a low-carbon economy and can include changes in regulation, technology and consumer demand.

